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Abstract

An analysis of the distribution of radiation doses in the area of CT Scan Multi-Slice 64 has been carried out in Sanglah Hospital Denpasar. The study was conducted by dividing the area around the room into 8 regions and paths that indicate the distance from the wall to the surveillance. Measurements were made at several points at intervals of 1 m. Each point is taken three times the data collection. From the results of the distribution analysis it was found that there was an increase in the radiation dose rate to the Dose Limit Value (DLV) with a significance level below 0.05 occurring in region C with an average dose rate of 142.32 µSv/h, region E with an average value of an average of 111.36 µSv/h and area D with an average value of 40.68 µSv/h which has a value above the DLV. Whereas the value of the dose rate of other regions is below the DLV. Areas with a 25 cm transverse brick type barrier wall that has a 2 m window above have an average dose rate of 129.55 µSv/h, a 5 cm wooden door with a 2 mm Pb layer has an average dose rate of 67, 91 µSv/h. Whereas in areas with a 25 cm transverse brick type borderless wall construction without glass, Pb glass and reinforced concrete columns there was no increase in the radiation dose rate to the DLV. The increase in the radiation dose rate occurs because there is a window above the dividing wall and the construction of the door that is not in accordance with the rules because it has a keyhole and door handles that allow radiation to pass through the window and keyhole.
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1. Introduction

Sodickson et al., (2009), utilization of ionizing radiation, including X-rays in the health sector both for therapy and diagnostics, has been growing ever since the discovery of X-rays in 1895 by Wilhelm Conrad Roentgen (Akhadi, 2000). The production of radiology equipment ranging from conventional radiography aircraft to sophisticated CT Multi-Slice Scans makes many contributions not only to the expansion of scientific knowledge and diagnostic capabilities of radiology but also in radiation protection for patients, officers and the environment (Bruening, et al., 2006; Sutapa et al., 2018).

The provision of radiation must be as low as possible in accordance with clinical needs is an important aspect of radiological diagnostic services that need continuous attention. Because during the X-ray radiation penetrating the material or material collisions occur with photons of atoms that cause ionization, this event can cause radiation effects on the body, both nonstochastic and stochastic (Akhadi, 2000; Noa et al., 2018).

Thus, continuous efforts are needed for occupational safety and health in the field of ionizing radiation through radiation protection measures, whether in the form of environmental radiation survey activities, personal monitoring, installation of radiation hazard alarm instruments, making standard work procedures for radiation, to repairing radiation source rooms (McNitt-Gray, 2002; Pantos et al., 2009). All these activities are useful to minimize the level of radiation dose received by radiation workers, patients and the environment around the radiation source.

One of the diagnostic imaging modalities for diagnosis is CT Multi-Slice 64 which has high accuracy in showing the structure of human organs. The operation of this tool uses a fairly large radiation dose, where the rate of X-ray radiation during irradiation can be measured using a surveillance meter in various locations around the radiation field (Seeram, 2001; Vallejo et al., 2019).

Because the radiation dose used is quite large, the determination of the room to put the CT Scan Multi-Slice 64 device must not be arbitrary must follow the rules of radiation protection. For this reason, it is necessary to analyze the distribution of the radiation dose rate in the area of the 64 Slice CT Scan tool against the Dose Limit Value (DLV) at Sanglah Hospital Denpasar.

2. Materials and Methods

This research took place in the Multi-Slice 64 CT Scan Sanglah Hospital in Denpasar. The research variable is the radiation dose rate as the dependent variable. As an independent variable is a location around the examination room divided into 8 regions and paths that indicate the distance between the retaining wall and the surveillance with a point varying intervals of 1 m, one point is made 3 times the data collection. The control variable is the CT Scan examination parameter and the type of survey as well as the calibration factor and date. The confounding variable is background radiation (Suomalainen et al., 2009; Hunold et al., 2003).

The research tools and materials include a properly calibrated CT Scan, measurement using a survey with operational parameters CT Scan kV: 120, mA: 356, mAs: 300, Scan Time: 7s, Normal open collimation, Inspection protocol: Head Routine Study. The concept of appropriate research in Figure 1.

Retrieval of data follows the layout and illustration of the placement of the collection points according to Figure 2.

The material and construction of the walls of each area are different from the others. Area A at point 1 consists of a 25 cm thick transverse brick wall, Pb glass 2 mm thick at points 2 and 3, Pb coated 2 mm wooden door at point 4. Regions B, D, F retaining wall of the reinforced concrete column. Area C of the 25 cm transverse brick wall is a 2 m high window. Area E points 1 and 2 are 2 mm Pb-coated wooden doors, while points 3-5 of a 25 cm brick wall with a window above. G and H areas of 25 cm transverse brick without windows.
3. Results and Discussions

The measured dose rate in the Multi-Slice 64 CT Scan room is reduced by background radiation then multiplied by the calibration factor then averaged. The radiation dose rate distribution is made in the form of mapping the radiation dose value using Matlab 7.0 software, the results of the radiation dose rate contour distribution are shown in Figure 3 below.

Figure 3. The distribution of radiation in the CT Scan Multi-Slice 64 room at Sanglah Hospital

The radiation mapping results in Figure 3 state that area C has the highest radiation dose rate marked with a red color bar in the range 251-350 μSv/h, then the E region is marked with a yellow color bar in the range 101-150 μSv/h. For Regions D, F, and A are marked with a light blue color bar, while regions G, B and H are marked with a dark blue color bar.

Analysis of the measured radiation dose rate to the DLV is done by comparing each region, path and retaining wall construction at each point to the radiation DLV per hour, by converting an annual DLV of 20 mSv/year (Bapeten, 2013), into units per hour which is 5,325 μSv/h.

Statistical tests using the two-way ANOVA method of the Post Hoc Test (Gunawan, 2014), can be seen in the comparison of the radiation dose rates of each region to the DLV, the results of multiple comparisons explain the comparison of the dose rate values of each region to the DLV, can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1
Test results Post Hoc Multiple Comparisons test Comparison dose rates radiation of each region against DLV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multiple Comparisons</th>
<th>Mean Difference (I-J)</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval Lower Bound</th>
<th>Upper Bound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tukey HSD DLV AREA A</td>
<td>-18.3123</td>
<td>11.45207</td>
<td>.805</td>
<td>-54.1911</td>
<td>17.5665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tukey HSD DLV AREA B</td>
<td>1.2833</td>
<td>11.84303</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>-35.8204</td>
<td>38.3870</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AREA C  -142.3280*  11.67262  .000  -178.8978  -105.7582
AREA D  -40.6817*  11.84303  .020  -77.7854  -3.5780
AREA E  -111.3605*  11.32411  .000  -146.8384  -75.8826
AREA F  -36.3404  11.84303  .060  -73.4441  37.8329
AREA G   .7292  11.84303  1.000  -36.3745  40.8129
AREA H   4.7661  11.84303  1.000  -31.0795  40.6117

Based on observed means.
The error term is Mean Square(Error) = 480.883.
*. The mean difference is significant at the .05 level.

From Table 1 it can be seen that the regions C, D, and E are above DLV with a significance level below 0.05 and are marked with a star code above the mean. There are three areas to the value of the average dose under DLV, ie the area H, B and G with a significance of more than 0.05, which means that the difference in the radiation dose rates of the three rooms is not significant to the DLV that is set, while 5 other area has value of dose rate average higher than DLV with the highest value in area C has a significant level of 0.00, which means that the value of the radiation dose of the room is above the DLV which is very significant. It is known based on a comparison of the average radiation dose value of area C of 142.32 µSv/h higher than the determined DLV. Area E with a significance of 0.00 and has an average radiation dose rate of 111.36 µSv/h higher than DLV. Then area D has a significance of 0.02 with an average radiation dose rate of 40.68 µSv/h higher than DLV. For a comparison of radiation dose rates between wall constructions, see Table 2.

Table 2
Value dose rates at barrier wall construction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multiple Comparisons</th>
<th>Dependent Variable : Radiation dose rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(I) Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tukey HSD DLV</td>
<td>25 cm brick wall without windows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pb glass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reinforced concrete columns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25 cm brick wall with windows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wooden door 5 cm with 2 mm Pb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on observed means.
The error term is Mean Square(Error) = 480.883.
*. The mean difference is significant at the .05 level.

From Table 2 it is known that the 25 cm brick wall is in area C and area E has a window above it, has a value of the radiation dose rate above the DLV with a signification below 0.05 then followed by a 5 cm Pb plated 2 mm equivalent to 2 mm contained in area A and area G. Construction of the wall using the window giving the results of the dose rate above the DLV with a significance level below 0.05. From the direct observation of the area C and E, namely the hallway and patient waiting areas, there are glass windows at a height of 2 meters with a width of 1 meter along the dividing wall of the room. Whereas in other areas there are no windows on the dividing wall, in other words, the wall has a homogeneous material or the same constituents.

The increase in DLV that occurs in areas that are declared unsafe around the CT Scan Multi-Slice Room 64 RSUP Sanglah because of the window above the dividing wall, is characterized by statistical analysis in two
areas with a significance level below 0.05 namely C and E have a window at top of the dividing wall, while in the G and H regions that have windowless barrier construction have a dose rate below DLV. In the mapping, it was found that there were several points whose dose rate values were above the DLV, including the door area, which means the door was not up to standard and needed radiation protection treatment, also strengthened by statistical analysis which stated that the dose rate of wooden doors in areas A and F were located above DLV with a significance level below 0.05.

4. Conclusion

From the results of the measurement of the dose rate and the analysis of the distribution of radiation in the CT Multi-Slice 64 room in Sanglah Hospital Denpasar it can be concluded that there is an increase in the radiation dose rate to the Dose Limit Value (DLV) with a significance level below 0.05 occurring in regions C, regions E and region D with an average dose rate of 142.32 µSv/h, 111.36 µSv/h and 40.68 µSv/h above the DLV. While the comparison of the value of the dose rate other areas are under DLV. The increase in the radiation dose rate occurs because there is a window above the dividing wall and door construction that does not comply with the rules because it had the keyhole and door handles that allow the radiation passes through the window and the keyhole.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Udayana University for funding this research through the Leading Research Scheme from the Study Program, the year 2019.
References
Biography of Authors

Ni Nyoman Ratini is a Physics lecturer with a concentration in the field of Medical Physics expertise. Born in Sibetan, on April 1, 1967, he worked in the Department of Physics at the Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Udayana University, Bali since 1993.
Email: nymratini@unud.ac.id

I Made Yuliara lives at Jalan Kedondong No. 8 Denpasar, Bali. Phone: (+62) 8123644116. He was born on July 18, 1964. He graduated the Bachelor degree in the Physics Program at Airlangga University in Surabaya, 1994. He finished his Master degree of the Remote Sensing Program at Institute of Technology at Surabaya, November 10, 2000.
Email: imdyuliara@unud.ac.id

Ni Luh Putu Trisnawati is a Physics lecturer with a concentration in the field of Medical Physics expertise. Born in Dalung on February 12, 1972, he worked in the Department of Physics at the Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Udayana University, Bali since 2000.
Email: trisnawati@unud.ac.id