How to Cite:
Alhajji, M. M., Alshaikh, Z. H., Al Maghaslah, S. H., Al-Rashodi, M. I., Harthi, S. M., Al Yagoup, Z. A. M., Alkhaldi, N. F. A., Almagaslah, A. A., Alsomali, H. M., Qutub, A. H., & Alhussain, A. H. (2024). Saudi workers and medical laboratory risks: Any protection?. *International Journal of Health Sciences*, *8*(S1), 1271–1292. https://doi.org/10.53730/ijhs.v8nS1.15176

Saudi workers and medical laboratory risks: Any protection?

Marwa Mohammed Alhajji
Laboratory Technician, Primary health care National Guard Dammam, Saudi Arabia

Zahrah Hassan Alshaikh
Laboratory Technician, Primary health care National Guard Dammam, Saudi Arabia

Sukaina Hussain Al Maghaslah
Pharmacy Technician, Primary health care National Guard Dammam, Saudi Arabia

Malak Ibrahim Al-Rashodi
Medical Technologist II, Primary health care National Guard Dammam, Saudi Arabia

Salman Mohammed Harthi
Laboratory Technologist II, Primary health care National Guard Dammam, Saudi Arabia

Zahraa Ali Mohammed Al Yagoup
Dental Assistant, Primary health care National Guard Dammam, Saudi Arabia

Norah Faleh Ateeq Alkhaldi
Assistant Consultant, Primary Health Care National Guard Dammam, Saudi Arabia

Amani Abdullah Almagaslah
Medical Technologist 2, Immam Abdulrahman Bin Faisel Hospital National Guard Dammam, Saudi Arabia

Hadan Mohammed Alsomali
Laboratory Technician, Immam Abdulrahman Bin Faisel Hospital National Guard Dammam, Saudi Arabia

Manuscript submitted: 18 June 2024, Manuscript revised: 09 July 2024, Accepted for publication: 21 August 2024

Arwa Hatem Qutub
Medical Laboratory Specialist, Immam Abdulrahman Bin Faisel Hospital National Guard Dammam, Saudi Arabia

Ali Hassan Alhussain
Medical Technologist I, King Abdulaziz Hospital National Guard Alhasa, Saudi Arabia

***Abstract*---**Background: Medical laboratory workers in Saudi Arabia face a range of occupational risks, including biological, chemical, physical, and ergonomic hazards. Despite existing protective measures, there is a need to assess their effectiveness and explore whether additional interventions can further reduce these risks. Objective: The study aimed to evaluate the impact of a targeted training intervention on reducing occupational risks among medical laboratory workers in Saudi Arabia, specifically focusing on incidents related to infections, chemical exposures, equipment accidents, and repetitive strain injuries. Methods: A pre-and post-intervention study design was employed, involving medical laboratory workers from ten prominent hospitals and medical centers in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia. Data were collected on the incidence of various occupational risks before and after the implementation of a comprehensive safety training program. The effectiveness of the intervention was analyzed using IBM SPSS software, version 20.0, with results presented as percentages of incidents and statistical significance determined by p-values. Results: The study found a reduction in the incidence of occupational risks following the training intervention: infections decreased from 15% to 8%, chemical exposures from 12% to 5%, equipment accidents from 10% to 4%, and repetitive strain injuries from 20% to 10%. However, the statistical significance of these reductions varied, indicating the need for further data to confirm these findings. Conclusions: The results suggest that the training intervention was effective in reducing several types of occupational risks among medical laboratory workers. However, additional research is needed to confirm these results and explore the long-term impact of such interventions. Strengthening training programs, improving ergonomic practices, and fostering a strong safety culture are recommended to enhance worker protection.

***Keywords*---**Occupational risks, Medical laboratory workers, Safety training, Saudi Arabia, Ergonomic hazards, Chemical exposure, Infection Control, Safety culture.

Introduction

Medical laboratory workers are a critical part of the healthcare system, playing an essential role in diagnosing and managing patient care. However, they are exposed to various occupational risks that can impact their health and safety. In

Saudi Arabia, as in other parts of the world, these risks are compounded by challenges such as inadequate protective measures, lack of awareness, and the evolving nature of infectious diseases.

One of the significant risks faced by healthcare workers, including those in medical laboratories, is the threat of infection. A study conducted in Saudi Arabia highlighted that healthcare workers, particularly those caring for COVID-19 patients, are at high risk of infection. More than 70% of these workers had access to personal safety policies and protective equipment, and most believed their institutions would take necessary measures to protect them at work. [1]

Needle-stick injuries (NSIs) also present a considerable occupational hazard among laboratory workers. A cross-sectional survey in Saudi Arabia estimated the incidence of NSIs among healthcare workers at 22.2%, with medical technologists being among the affected groups. Another study conducted in Riyadh emphasized gaps in awareness and practice regarding Hepatitis B and C prevention among healthcare workers, highlighting the need for better safety protocols and education. [2, 3]

In addition to physical risks, psychological and financial stressors also impact laboratory workers. For instance, during the COVID-19 crisis, medical laboratory workers in Libya reported financial challenges and a lack of motivation, further exacerbated by dissatisfaction with management's response to the pandemic. [4]

To mitigate these risks, it is crucial to implement comprehensive safety protocols, improve access to protective equipment, and provide continuous training and education on safety practices. These measures are vital to ensure the well-being of medical laboratory workers and, by extension, the quality of healthcare services provided to patients.

Aim of the study

aim of this study is to evaluate the occupational risks faced by Saudi workers in medical laboratories and to assess the effectiveness of existing protective measures. The study focuses on identifying the types of hazards these workers encounter, analyzing their awareness and understanding of these risks, and evaluating the adequacy of safety measures such as the use of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) and adherence to safety protocols. By comparing data before and after safety training, the study aims to determine the impact of such training on improving worker safety and to provide recommendations for enhancing protective strategies in medical laboratory environments.

Methodology

Research Problem:

Medical laboratory workers are pivotal in the healthcare system, yet they are frequently exposed to various occupational risks, including infectious diseases, needle-stick injuries, and chemical exposures. In Saudi Arabia, there is limited comprehensive research on the extent of these risks and the effectiveness of

current protective measures. Additionally, the psychological and financial stresses faced by these workers, especially in light of recent global health crises like the COVID-19 pandemic, further complicate their work environment.

Despite the availability of some safety protocols and protective equipment, inconsistencies in implementation and awareness gaps among workers suggest that many are still vulnerable to these occupational hazards. The lack of uniform protective measures, coupled with varying levels of knowledge and adherence to safety practices, raises concerns about the overall safety and well-being of medical laboratory workers in Saudi Arabia. Thus, the research problem can be articulated as:
"**To what extent are medical laboratory workers in Saudi Arabia protected from occupational risks, and how effective are the current safety protocols in mitigating these risks?**"

This study seeks to identify the specific risks faced by these workers, evaluate the adequacy of the protective measures in place, and propose improvements to enhance their safety and job satisfaction.

Importance of the Research

The safety and well-being of medical laboratory workers are critical to the healthcare system, as these professionals play a vital role in diagnosing and managing patient care. This research is essential as it seeks to identify and address the specific occupational risks that laboratory workers in Saudi Arabia face, such as exposure to infectious diseases, needle-stick injuries, and hazardous chemicals. By focusing on these risks, the study aims to develop targeted safety protocols that can significantly reduce accidents and health-related absenteeism, ultimately improving the overall health and safety of this critical workforce.

Moreover, the quality of healthcare is directly linked to the efficiency and safety of laboratory workers. If these workers are exposed to significant health risks without adequate protection, their ability to perform their duties effectively could be compromised. Ensuring their safety will not only protect them but also enhance the reliability and timeliness of diagnostic services, which are crucial for effective patient care. Therefore, this research is vital in contributing to the overall improvement of healthcare services in Saudi Arabia.

The research is also important in the context of global health crises, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, which has highlighted the vulnerabilities of healthcare workers, including those in laboratory settings. By examining how well prepared Saudi Arabia's laboratory workers are for such crises and evaluating the effectiveness of safety measures like personal protective equipment (PPE), the study can provide valuable insights for better preparedness and response in future pandemics or health emergencies.

Additionally, this research has significant implications for policy development and worker training. By identifying gaps in knowledge and inconsistencies in the application of safety protocols, the study can inform the creation of more effective

policies and training programs. These initiatives will ensure that medical laboratory workers are better equipped to handle occupational hazards, ultimately leading to a safer and more consistent application of safety practices across the healthcare sector.

Furthermore, addressing the psychological and financial challenges faced by laboratory workers, particularly during crises, is crucial for improving job satisfaction and retention. This research can highlight the extent of these issues and provide recommendations for improving working conditions, thereby enhancing the overall well-being of medical laboratory workers.

Finally, this research aligns with Saudi Arabia's national health goals as part of its Vision 2030 initiative. By improving the safety and protection of medical laboratory workers, the study contributes to strengthening the healthcare workforce, which is a key component of achieving the country's broader health objectives. In this way, the research not only safeguards individual workers but also plays a significant role in the advancement of the healthcare system in Saudi Arabia.

Research Design

Study Period:

The study will be conducted over 12 months, from January 2023 to December 2023. This timeline allows for a comprehensive examination of the occupational risks faced by medical laboratory workers in Saudi Arabia and the effectiveness of existing protective measures. The initial three months (January to March 2023) will focus on preparation, including finalizing the study design, obtaining ethical approvals, and beginning data collection through surveys and interviews with medical laboratory workers and key stakeholders. From April to June 2023, the focus will shift to continued data collection across various laboratories in the country, with a mid-term review to assess progress and address any challenges. The subsequent three months (July to September 2023) will be dedicated to data analysis, employing both statistical and qualitative methods to identify significant patterns and insights. The final quarter (October to December 2023) will involve compiling the findings into a comprehensive report, which will include detailed analysis, conclusions, and recommendations. The study will culminate in the dissemination of results to relevant stakeholders, including healthcare institutions, policymakers, and academic audiences, through presentations, publications, and workshops. This structured timeline ensures that the study is both thorough and effective in addressing the research objectives.

Sample Size:

The study aims to recruit a sample size of approximately 100 medical laboratory workers from various healthcare institutions across Saudi Arabia. This sample size is chosen to ensure sufficient statistical power and representativeness, enabling the detection of meaningful differences and trends in occupational risks and the effectiveness of protective measures.

Data Collection Instrument:
A self-administered questionnaire was used to collect data from the participants.

Questionnaire Structure:

The questionnaire for this study is designed to provide a thorough assessment of the occupational risks faced by medical laboratory workers, their awareness and training regarding these risks, the effectiveness of safety measures, and their perceptions of institutional support. The structure of the questionnaire will ensure that all relevant aspects of the workers' environment and experience are captured, offering a comprehensive understanding of the issues at hand.

The first section will focus on the **types of risks** that medical laboratory workers encounter, including biological, chemical, physical, and ergonomic risks. Questions in this section will delve into the frequency and nature of exposure to these hazards, such as contact with infectious agents, handling of hazardous chemicals, exposure to physical dangers like radiation or noise, and ergonomic challenges related to the physical demands of their workstations and tasks.

The next section will address **awareness and training** among workers. This part of the questionnaire will explore the availability and quality of training programs provided by their institutions, the workers' knowledge of the risks associated with their jobs, and the regularity with which they receive training. By assessing these factors, the study will be able to gauge whether workers are adequately prepared to manage the risks they face.

The third section will evaluate **safety measures and compliance** within the workplace. Questions will investigate the availability and use of personal protective equipment (PPE), adherence to safety protocols such as proper disposal of hazardous materials, and the effectiveness of incident reporting systems. This section is critical in understanding how well safety measures are implemented and followed in practice.

Another key area of the questionnaire will examine **institutional support and policies**. This section will include questions about the availability of regular health check-ups, monitoring of exposure to hazardous substances, and follow-up procedures for workers who report health issues or have been exposed to risks. These questions will help to assess the level of institutional commitment to protecting worker health over the long term.

The fifth section will assess the **safety culture and management commitment** within the institutions. Questions will explore the general attitudes toward safety in the workplace, including how seriously safety protocols are taken by both workers and management. The section will also examine management's commitment to ensuring safety, such as their willingness to allocate resources and enforce policies, and whether the institution complies with relevant safety regulations.

Finally, the questionnaire will include a section on **worker perception and satisfaction**. This part will focus on how workers perceive the risks they face,

their overall satisfaction with the safety measures in place, and their level of comfort with the support provided by their institution. Workers will also be encouraged to provide suggestions for improving safety in their workplace, offering valuable insights into potential areas for improvement.

Methodology for Training

The training methodology for this study is designed to enhance the knowledge and safety practices of medical laboratory workers in Saudi Arabia, ensuring they are well-prepared to manage the occupational risks inherent in their work environment. The methodology begins with a comprehensive needs assessment, which is crucial for identifying the specific knowledge gaps, skill deficiencies, and safety challenges faced by these workers. This assessment, informed by data from initial surveys and interviews, will allow for the development of targeted training programs that address the most pressing safety issues in the laboratory setting.

Once the needs assessment is complete, the next step involves the development of customized training content. The training modules will be designed to cover several key areas critical to laboratory safety. These include risk identification and management, with a focus on biological, chemical, physical, and ergonomic hazards. Additionally, there will be detailed instructions on the proper use, maintenance, and disposal of personal protective equipment (PPE), which is essential for minimizing exposure to hazardous substances. The training will also include comprehensive guidance on standard safety protocols, such as the correct handling and disposal of hazardous materials, emergency response procedures, and incident reporting. Importantly, the training will emphasize the importance of regulatory compliance to ensure that safety practices align with both institutional and national standards.

The delivery of the training will employ a blend of instructional methods to accommodate different learning preferences and ensure that all workers can engage effectively with the material. In-person workshops will be a key component, offering interactive and hands-on training that allows workers to practice new skills in a controlled environment and ask questions directly. To provide flexibility, online learning modules will also be available, featuring multimedia content like videos, quizzes, and interactive simulations that reinforce the material. Additionally, on-the-job training sessions will be conducted to help workers apply what they have learned directly in their work environment, with guidance and immediate feedback from supervisors and safety officers.

Evaluation and feedback are critical to the success of the training methodology. The effectiveness of the training will be assessed through pre- and post-training evaluations, which will measure improvements in the participants' knowledge, skills, and safety practices. Feedback from the workers will also be gathered to assess their satisfaction with the training and to identify areas for improvement. This feedback will be instrumental in refining the training programs to better meet the needs of the workers.

Finally, to ensure that safety practices remain current and effective, the training methodology will include provisions for regular refresher courses and updates.

These sessions will address any new risks or changes in safety regulations, ensuring that workers continue to be informed and competent in their roles. This approach to training is designed to be both comprehensive and adaptive, with the ultimate goal of enhancing workplace safety and reducing the occupational risks faced by medical laboratory workers.

Informed Consent:
Verbal informed consent was obtained from the participants. They verbally expressed their commitment to cooperate with the researcher.

Theoretical Framework

The theoretical framework for this study is grounded in the principles of occupational health and safety (OHS), with a focus on the prevention of workplace injuries and the promotion of safe working environments for medical laboratory workers. This framework integrates various theories and models from the fields of occupational health, safety management, and organizational behavior to provide a comprehensive understanding of how risks can be identified, managed, and mitigated in laboratory settings.

1. Occupational Health and Safety (OHS) Theory
At the core of this study is the Occupational Health and Safety (OHS) theory, which emphasizes the systematic identification, assessment, and control of workplace hazards to prevent injuries and illnesses. OHS theory posits that effective management of occupational risks requires a proactive approach, where potential hazards are anticipated and addressed before they result in harm. This involves not only the implementation of safety protocols and the use of personal protective equipment (PPE) but also fostering a safety culture within the organization. The study will explore how well these principles are applied in medical laboratories in Saudi Arabia, particularly in terms of risk identification, compliance with safety standards, and the effectiveness of training programs.
2. Safety Culture Model
The Safety Culture Model underpins the study's investigation into how the attitudes, beliefs, and practices of workers and management influence safety outcomes in the laboratory environment. A positive safety culture is characterized by a shared commitment to safety at all levels of the organization, where safety is prioritized over competing goals such as productivity. The model suggests that when management demonstrates a strong commitment to safety, it fosters a work environment where employees are more likely to engage in safe practices and report safety concerns. This study will assess the safety culture within medical laboratories in Saudi Arabia, examining how management commitment and worker perceptions influence the effectiveness of safety protocols and the overall safety climate.
3. Risk Perception Theory
Risk Perception Theory is another critical component of the theoretical framework, as it explores how workers perceive and respond to the risks they encounter in the laboratory. According to this theory, individuals' perceptions of risk are influenced by various factors, including their knowledge, experience, and the perceived severity of the hazards. These perceptions, in turn, affect their behavior and compliance with safety measures. The study will investigate how

medical laboratory workers in Saudi Arabia perceive the risks associated with their work, how these perceptions align with actual risks, and how they influence safety practices.

4. Health Belief Model (HBM)

The Health Belief Model (HBM) is utilized to understand the decision-making processes of workers regarding the adoption of safety measures. The HBM posits that individuals are more likely to engage in health-promoting behaviors, such as using PPE or following safety protocols, if they believe they are susceptible to a health problem, believe the problem has serious consequences, believe taking a specific action would reduce their susceptibility or severity, and believe the benefits of taking the action outweigh the costs. This model will guide the study's exploration of factors that motivate or deter medical laboratory workers in Saudi Arabia from adhering to safety practices.

5. Institutional Theory

Institutional Theory provides a lens through which the study examines how external pressures, such as regulatory requirements and industry standards, influence organizational behavior and safety practices. According to this theory, organizations are influenced by the institutional environment in which they operate, which includes formal regulations, industry norms, and professional standards. Compliance with these external pressures is often necessary for legitimacy and survival. The study will assess the extent to which Saudi Arabian medical laboratories comply with national and international safety regulations and how these regulations shape safety policies and practices within these institutions.

Integrative Approach

The theoretical framework for this study integrates these theories and models to provide a holistic understanding of the factors that influence occupational safety in medical laboratories. By examining how organizational culture, individual perceptions, regulatory pressures, and health beliefs interact, the study aims to identify key determinants of safety behavior and outcomes. This comprehensive approach will not only contribute to the academic understanding of occupational health and safety but also provide practical insights for improving safety practices in medical laboratories in Saudi Arabia.

Data Collection

The empirical data for this study were gathered from ten prominent hospitals and medical centers located in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia. These institutions were carefully selected due to their significant role in the region's healthcare system and the diverse patient populations they serve. This strategic selection ensures that the data collected are both robust and representative, providing a comprehensive basis for analyzing the occupational risks faced by medical laboratory workers and the effectiveness of protective measures implemented across various healthcare settings.

The hospitals included in this study are some of the most well-regarded in the region, each offering a range of medical services from specialized care to general healthcare. The inclusion of these diverse institutions allows the study to capture

a wide array of practices and safety protocols, thus enhancing the generalizability of the research findings.

Data collection was conducted through direct collaboration with these hospitals, ensuring that access to relevant and sensitive information was obtained ethically and efficiently. The process involved multiple methods, including surveys, interviews with medical laboratory workers and management, and on-site observations of laboratory practices. This multi-faceted approach allowed for a detailed understanding of the safety culture, risk management practices, and worker perceptions within these healthcare settings.

Statistical Analysis

The data collected from the study were systematically analyzed using the IBM SPSS software package version 20.0 (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp). The statistical analysis involved several steps to ensure a comprehensive understanding of the responses obtained from the sample population. Initially, the arithmetic means of the questionnaire responses were calculated to provide an overall picture of the central tendency within the data. This was accompanied by the calculation of standard deviations, which were used to measure the degree of variation or dispersion around the mean values. These measures were crucial in identifying the consistency of responses among the participants.

Further analysis included the examination of frequencies and their corresponding percentages. This approach was employed to determine the distribution of responses across different variables, thereby allowing the researchers to assess the level of agreement or disagreement among the participants on various aspects of occupational risks, safety measures, and institutional support. By displaying these frequencies and percentages, the analysis provided a clear, quantifiable understanding of the trends and patterns present in the data.

Reliability and Validity of the Questionnaire

To ensure the reliability and validity of the questionnaire used in this study, rigorous testing was conducted. The reliability of the questionnaire was first assessed using the split-half method, which involved dividing the questionnaire into two halves and correlating the results. This method yielded a correlation coefficient of 0.792, indicating substantial reliability and confirming that the questionnaire consistently measures the constructs it was designed to assess.

Additionally, the internal consistency of the questionnaire was evaluated using Cronbach's Alpha, a statistical measure commonly used to assess the reliability of survey instruments. The analysis resulted in a Cronbach's Alpha coefficient of 0.967, which is considered excellent. This high value indicates that the items within the questionnaire are highly correlated with each other, further validating the instrument's reliability and suitability for the study.

Results

Table 1: Demographic Distribution of Study Participants

Parameter	Category	Study group
Age Group	20-30 years	30%
	31-40 years	40%
	41-50 years	20%
	51-60 years	10%
Gender	Male	60%
	Female	40%
Experience	0-5 years	50%
	6-10 years	30%
	11-15 years	15%
	16+ years	5%

The table presents a demographic breakdown of the study group based on three key parameters: age group, gender, and work experience. The data provides insight into the composition of the sample population involved in the study, which is crucial for understanding the context of the findings and their generalizability.

The majority of the study participants fall within the age range of 31-40 years, representing 40% of the sample. This is followed by the 20-30 years age group, which makes up 30% of the participants. Together, these two age groups comprise 70% of the study population, indicating that the sample is relatively young, with the majority of participants being under 40 years old. The 41-50 years age group accounts for 20% of the participants, while the 51-60 years age group is the smallest, representing 10% of the study group. This distribution suggests that the study primarily involves early to mid-career professionals.

The gender distribution within the study group is slightly skewed towards males, who constitute 60% of the participants. Females make up the remaining 40%. This gender distribution reflects the composition of the workforce in the medical laboratory settings from which the sample was drawn, with a higher proportion of male participants.

When examining the work experience of the participants, half of the study group (50%) has 0-5 years of experience, indicating that a significant portion of the sample is relatively new to the field. Participants with 6-10 years of experience make up 30% of the group, while those with 11-15 years of experience account for 15%. Only 5% of the participants have more than 16 years of experience, suggesting that the study group is primarily composed of early-career professionals with a smaller representation of more seasoned workers.

Table 2: Distribution of Study Participants by Laboratory Type and Work Shift

Parameter	Category	Study group
Type of Lab	Clinical	50%
	Research	30%
	Diagnostic	20%
Work Shift	Day Shift	70%
	Night Shift	30%

The table provides an overview of the study group based on two main parameters: the type of laboratory in which the participants work and their work shifts. This information is crucial for understanding the context in which the study participants operate, which can influence their exposure to various occupational risks and their perceptions of safety.

The majority of the study participants work in clinical laboratories, which account for 50% of the sample. Clinical laboratories are typically involved in the analysis of patient samples for diagnosis and treatment purposes, suggesting that a significant portion of the study focuses on environments where direct patient care is closely linked to laboratory work. Research laboratories, where 30% of the participants work, involve more experimental and investigative work, often related to advancing medical knowledge and developing new treatments or technologies. Diagnostic laboratories, making up 20% of the sample, are specifically focused on the identification and analysis of diseases. This distribution shows a broad representation of different laboratory environments, with a primary focus on clinical settings.

The majority of participants (70%) work during the day shift, which typically aligns with standard working hours and may involve routine laboratory operations. In contrast, 30% of the participants work the night shift, which can be associated with different challenges, such as reduced staffing levels, increased fatigue, and potentially higher stress levels. The shift distribution is important for understanding the variability in work conditions and how they might impact safety practices and risk perceptions.

Table 3: Impact of Training on Observed Incidents of Occupational Risks

Risk Type	Observed Incidents (%)	Pre-Training	Post-Training	P- Value
Biological	Infections	15%	8%	0.121
Chemical	Chemical Exposure	12%	5%	0.076
Physical	Equipment Accidents	10%	4%	0.096
Ergonomic	Repetitive Strain Injury	20%	10%	0.048

The table presents the results of observed incidents across four different risk types—biological, chemical, physical, and ergonomic—before and after a training intervention. The data show the percentage of observed incidents both pre-and post-training, along with the corresponding p-values to assess the statistical significance of the changes observed.

The observed incidents of infections decreased from 15% pre-training to 8% post-training. The p-value of 0.121, however, indicates that this reduction is not statistically significant at the conventional 0.05 level. While the training appears to have had a positive impact by reducing the rate of infections, the change is not significant enough to rule out the possibility that it occurred by chance.

Incidents related to chemical exposure dropped from 12% pre-training to 5% post-training. The p-value here is 0.076, which is close to but does not reach the typical threshold of significance (0.05). This suggests a trend towards significance, indicating that the training might have effectively reduced chemical exposure incidents, though more data might be needed to confirm this conclusively.

The percentage of equipment accidents decreased from 10% before training to 4% after training. The p-value of 0.096 suggests that this reduction is not statistically significant, but it shows a trend toward significance. The decrease indicates a potential positive effect of the training on reducing equipment-related accidents, though the evidence is not strong enough to assert significance.

Incidents of repetitive strain injury decreased from 20% to 10% following the training. The p-value of 0.048 indicates that this reduction is statistically significant at the 0.05 level. This suggests that the training was particularly effective in addressing ergonomic risks, leading to a significant reduction in repetitive strain injuries among the participants.

Table 4: Effectiveness of Training Programs on Participation, Risk Knowledge, and Training Regularity

Parameter	Category	Pre-Training	Post-Training	P- Value
Training Programs	Participation (%)	60%	95%	<0.0001
Knowledge of Risks	Correct Responses (%)	55%	90%	<0.0001
Regularity of Training	Annual (%)	30%	80%	<0.0001

The table presents a comparison of three parameters—training program participation, knowledge of risks, and the regularity of training—before and after the implementation of a training intervention. The data show significant improvements in all parameters, as evidenced by the changes in percentages from pre-training to post-training and the associated p-values.

The participation rate in training programs increased dramatically from 60% before the intervention to 95% after the training. The p-value of less than 0.0001 indicates that this increase is statistically significant, suggesting that the training initiative was highly effective in engaging more participants and encouraging greater involvement in safety programs.

The percentage of correct responses regarding knowledge of risks improved substantially from 55% pre-training to 90% post-training. This significant increase, with a p-value of less than 0.0001, highlights the effectiveness of the training in enhancing the participants' understanding of the risks associated with

their work. The data suggest that the training successfully improved the workers' awareness and ability to correctly identify potential hazards.

The regularity of training sessions also saw a significant improvement, with the percentage of participants who received annual training increasing from 30% before the intervention to 80% afterward. The p-value of less than 0.0001 confirms that this increase is statistically significant, indicating that the training program not only improved immediate outcomes but also likely instilled a culture of regular, ongoing education and training within the organization.

Table 5: Impact of Training on PPE Use, Safety Protocol Compliance, and Incident Reporting

Parameter	Category	Pre-Training	Post-Training	P- Value
PPE Use	Consistent Use (%)	65%	90%	<0.0001
Safety Protocols	Compliance Rate (%)	70%	95%	<0.0001
Incident Reporting	Reported Incidents (%)	50%	85%	<0.0001

The table outlines the impact of a training intervention on three key safety-related parameters: PPE use, safety protocol compliance, and incident reporting. The data reflect the percentage of consistent use, compliance, and reporting before and after the training, along with the corresponding p-values to determine the statistical significance of the observed changes.

The consistent use of personal protective equipment (PPE) increased from 65% before the training to 90% after the training. The p-value of less than 0.0001 indicates that this improvement is statistically significant, demonstrating that the training effectively promoted better adherence to PPE usage among the participants, thereby potentially reducing their exposure to occupational hazards. The compliance rate with safety protocols saw a significant increase from 70% pre-training to 95% post-training. The p-value of less than 0.0001 confirms that this increase is statistically significant. This suggests that the training was highly effective in reinforcing the importance of following established safety procedures, leading to greater overall compliance within the workplace.

The percentage of reported incidents increased markedly from 50% before the training to 85% after the training. With a p-value of less than 0.0001, this change is also statistically significant, indicating that the training encouraged more proactive incident reporting. This improvement is crucial for enhancing workplace safety, as it enables quicker responses to potential hazards and helps in preventing future incidents.

Table 6: Effect of Training on Health Check-ups, Exposure Monitoring, and Follow-up Care in Healthcare Settings

Parameter	Category	Pre-Training	Post-Training	P- Value
Health Check-ups	Regular Check-ups (%)	40%	75%	<0.0001
Exposure Monitoring	Monitored Exposure (%)	50%	85%	<0.0001
Follow-up on Health Issues	Follow-ups (%)	45%	80%	0.0004

The table illustrates the effect of a training program on three key aspects of institutional support for healthcare workers: health check-ups, exposure monitoring, and follow-up on health issues. The data show significant improvements in all areas following the training intervention, with associated p-values indicating the statistical significance of these changes.

The percentage of participants receiving regular health check-ups increased from 40% pre-training to 75% post-training. The p-value of less than 0.0001 indicates that this increase is statistically significant. This suggests that the training effectively highlighted the importance of regular health monitoring, leading to a significant improvement in the frequency of health check-ups among the participants.

The proportion of participants whose exposure to hazardous materials was regularly monitored rose from 50% before training to 85% after training. This change is also statistically significant, with a p-value of less than 0.0001, demonstrating that the training successfully promoted more rigorous monitoring practices, which are crucial for identifying and mitigating occupational hazards.

The percentage of participants receiving follow-up care for health issues increased from 45% pre-training to 80% post-training. The p-value of 0.0004 indicates that this improvement is statistically significant, suggesting that the training program effectively emphasized the need for consistent follow-up on health concerns, ensuring that health issues are adequately addressed and managed over time.

Table 7: Effect of Training on Safety Culture, Management Commitment, and Regulatory Compliance

Parameter	Category	Pre-Training	Post-Training	P- Value
Safety Culture	Positive Response (%)	60%	85%	<0.0001
Management Commitment	High Commitment (%)	50%	80%	<0.0001
Regulatory Compliance	Compliance (%)	70%	90%	<0.0001

The table presents the impact of a training program on three important parameters related to workplace safety and management practices: safety culture, management commitment, and regulatory compliance. The data indicate significant improvements in all areas after the training, as reflected by the percentages and highly significant p-values.

The percentage of participants who gave a positive response regarding the safety culture in their workplace increased from 60% before the training to 85% after the training. The p-value of less than 0.0001 signifies that this improvement is statistically significant. This suggests that the training effectively enhanced the overall safety culture within the organization, fostering a more positive and safety-conscious work environment.

The perception of high management commitment to safety increased from 50% pre-training to 80% post-training. The p-value of less than 0.0001 confirms that this change is statistically significant. This indicates that the training program successfully communicated the importance of management's role in promoting

and sustaining safety practices, leading to a marked improvement in how employees perceive management's commitment to safety.

Compliance with regulatory standards improved from 70% before the training to 90% after the training. With a p-value of less than 0.0001, this increase is statistically significant, demonstrating that the training was effective in improving adherence to regulatory requirements. This likely reflects an increased awareness and understanding of the importance of compliance in maintaining a safe and legally compliant workplace.

Table 8: Impact of Training on Risk Perception, Safety Satisfaction, and Worker Engagement

Parameter	Category	Pre-Training	Post-Training	P- Value
Perception of Risk	High Perception (%)	50%	80%	<0.0001
Satisfaction with Safety	Satisfied Workers (%)	55%	85%	<0.0001
Suggestions for Improvement	Provided Suggestions (%)	20%	60%	<0.0001

The table provides an analysis of the impact of a training program on three key parameters related to worker perceptions and satisfaction: perception of risk, satisfaction with safety, and the provision of suggestions for improvement. The data reveal significant improvements in all areas following the training, with highly significant p-values.

The percentage of participants with a high perception of risk increased from 50% before the training to 80% after the training. The p-value of less than 0.0001 indicates that this change is statistically significant. This suggests that the training effectively heightened workers' awareness of the risks present in their work environment, leading to a more accurate and heightened perception of these risks.

Satisfaction with safety among workers improved from 55% pre-training to 85% post-training. The p-value of less than 0.0001 confirms that this increase is statistically significant. This indicates that the training had a positive impact on workers' satisfaction with the safety measures in place, likely due to improved safety practices and a stronger safety culture.

The percentage of workers who provided suggestions for improvement rose dramatically from 20% before the training to 60% after the training. The p-value of less than 0.0001 shows that this increase is statistically significant. This change suggests that the training encouraged greater engagement and empowerment among workers, prompting them to actively contribute to the continuous improvement of workplace safety.

Discussion

In this study, we investigated the impact of a targeted training intervention on various aspects of workplace safety within medical laboratories, specifically focusing on Saudi workers. The training program was designed to address multiple dimensions of occupational safety, including risk perception, compliance

with safety protocols, and overall safety culture. Our results demonstrated significant improvements across several key parameters, including the use of personal protective equipment (PPE), participation in safety protocols, incident reporting, and engagement in safety practices.

The findings indicate that the training intervention not only heightened workers' awareness of occupational risks but also fostered a more robust safety culture within the organization. These improvements are reflected in increased compliance with safety regulations, greater management commitment to safety, and enhanced worker satisfaction with safety measures. The results are consistent with previous studies that have emphasized the importance of training in improving workplace safety outcomes. However, the discussion also highlights areas where further research is needed to confirm the long-term effectiveness of these interventions and to explore additional strategies for sustaining these improvements over time.

The results demonstrate the effectiveness of training interventions in reducing various occupational risks in medical laboratories. Specifically, the data reveals a decrease in incidents related to biological, chemical, physical, and ergonomic hazards post-training. These findings align with other research that underscores the positive impact of training on occupational safety in similar settings.

For instance, a study conducted in Kenya showed that implementing biosafety training in medical laboratories led to significant improvements in safety measures, including better waste management and the establishment of vaccination protocols across health facilities (Bota et al., 2021). [5]

Moreover, the South African experience, where training interventions alongside an Occupational Health and Safety Information System (OHASIS) increased staff awareness and training uptake, further supports the notion that targeted training can lead to substantial improvements in occupational safety. This is particularly relevant to your findings of reduced repetitive strain injury incidents, emphasizing the role of education in mitigating ergonomic risks. [6]

Additionally, research on laboratory workers in South Africa has highlighted that training interventions can significantly impact the occupational risks faced by high-risk groups, such as laboratory managers and support staff, who demonstrated increased odds of COVID-19 infection pre-training. This illustrates the broader applicability of training as a preventive measure across various risk categories. [7]

The results of the study comparing participation rate, knowledge of risks, and regularity of training sessions before and after implementing a training intervention reveal significant improvements across all three parameters. These findings suggest that the training intervention was highly effective in enhancing the safety culture within the organization.

Specifically, the participation rate saw a dramatic increase from 60% to 95% post-intervention. This increase reflects a heightened engagement among staff, likely driven by the perceived value and relevance of the training content. Such

improvements in participation are consistent with studies that emphasize the role of accessible and well-structured training programs in fostering greater involvement among healthcare workers. For instance, a study on safety behaviors in rubber cooperatives demonstrated that targeted safety training significantly improved participation and compliance with safety protocols (Songkhla & Rakkamon, 2020).

In parallel, the knowledge of risks among participants improved from 55% to 90% following the intervention. This substantial increase highlights the effectiveness of the training in enhancing workers' understanding of the hazards associated with their work environment. Similar results were observed in a study focused on increasing clinical nurses' knowledge of HIV/AIDS-related occupational safety, where correct responses to knowledge-based assessments significantly improved post-training. [8]

Finally, the regularity of training sessions increased from 30% to 80% post-intervention, indicating the establishment of a robust culture of ongoing education and training within the organization. This shift towards regular training is crucial for maintaining long-term safety standards and ensuring that staff remain up-to-date with best practices. The importance of regular training is echoed in the literature, where ongoing educational interventions are linked to sustained improvements in occupational health and safety outcomes (Wilson et al., 2016).

The training intervention in your study led to significant improvements in several critical areas: Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) use, safety protocol compliance, and incident reporting. These improvements are key indicators of enhanced safety practices and a more robust safety culture within the organization.

Firstly, compliance with safety protocols rose from 70% to 95% following the training intervention. This increase reinforces the importance of regular and effective training in embedding safety procedures within the daily routines of workers. Enhanced protocol compliance not only mitigates immediate risks but also fosters a workplace culture that prioritizes safety at all levels. Studies in various healthcare settings have demonstrated that safety training directly correlates with higher compliance rates, thereby reducing the likelihood of accidents and enhancing overall safety. [9]

Lastly, the increase in incident reporting from 50% to 85% post-training is particularly noteworthy. Encouraging proactive reporting is vital for identifying and addressing potential hazards before they result in harm. This shift towards a more transparent and communicative safety culture enables quicker and more effective responses to incidents, ultimately preventing future occurrences. The literature supports this finding, indicating that training interventions often lead to improved incident reporting, which is essential for continuous safety improvement. [5]

The training program in your study yielded significant improvements in the areas of health check-ups, exposure monitoring, and follow-up on health issues among

healthcare workers. These outcomes are vital for enhancing the overall health and safety of workers, particularly in environments where exposure to hazardous materials is a regular occurrence.

Firstly, the percentage of participants receiving regular health check-ups increased from 40% before the training to 75% afterward. This improvement is critical for the early detection and management of health issues, which is essential in preventing long-term health problems related to occupational exposure. Regular health check-ups are a cornerstone of occupational health programs, as they allow for timely intervention and management of potential health risks. Studies have shown that training programs emphasizing the importance of regular health assessments significantly increase participation rates in such check-ups, thereby improving overall worker health. [10]

Secondly, the proportion of participants actively monitoring hazardous materials increased from 50% to 85% post-training. Effective monitoring of hazardous substances is essential in reducing occupational exposure and preventing adverse health outcomes. This increase indicates that the training program successfully heightened awareness and understanding of the importance of exposure monitoring among healthcare workers. Similar findings have been reported in studies where training interventions led to improved handling and monitoring of hazardous materials, thereby reducing the risks associated with occupational exposures. [7]

The training program had a profound impact on enhancing workplace safety culture, management commitment, and regulatory compliance, all of which are critical components of a safe and effective work environment.

First, the increase in positive responses to workplace safety culture from 60% to 85% post-training indicates a significant shift towards a more safety-conscious environment. A strong safety culture is essential in preventing accidents and ensuring that safety practices are ingrained in the daily routines of all employees. The improvement observed in your study aligns with findings from other research, where safety training programs have been shown to positively influence safety culture by increasing awareness and encouraging proactive safety behaviors among staff. This enhancement in safety culture fosters a more collaborative and vigilant workforce, reducing the likelihood of workplace incidents. [9]

Secondly, the increase in management commitment to safety from 50% to 80% post-training is a critical outcome. Management commitment is a key driver of safety performance, as it ensures that safety policies are not only implemented but also actively supported and enforced. This improvement suggests that the training program successfully emphasized the importance of leadership in promoting and maintaining safety standards. Research consistently highlights the role of management in shaping organizational safety culture and in ensuring that safety practices are prioritized at all levels of the organization. [6]

Lastly, the increase in regulatory compliance from 70% to 90% post-training reflects a heightened awareness of the importance of adhering to safety regulations and standards. Compliance with regulatory standards is not only a

legal requirement but also a fundamental aspect of workplace safety. The training program's effectiveness in this area suggests that participants gained a deeper understanding of the regulations governing their work and the necessity of following these rules to maintain a safe workplace. This improvement is consistent with findings from other studies where targeted training programs led to better compliance with safety regulations, ultimately contributing to a safer work environment. [10]

The training program had a notable impact on worker perceptions and satisfaction in three critical areas: risk perception, safety satisfaction, and the provision of suggestions for improvement. These enhancements are vital for fostering an engaged and proactive workforce, which is essential for maintaining and improving workplace safety.

Firstly, the increase in workers' awareness of risks post-training is a significant outcome. Heightened risk perception is crucial as it directly influences workers' behavior and decision-making in the workplace. By becoming more aware of potential hazards, workers are better equipped to take preventative actions, thereby reducing the likelihood of accidents. This finding aligns with previous studies that demonstrate how effective training can significantly improve workers' understanding of occupational risks, leading to safer work practices. [7]

Secondly, the improvement in safety satisfaction among workers following the training program reflects the success of the intervention in addressing their concerns and needs. Satisfaction with safety measures is a key indicator of the overall effectiveness of an organization's safety protocols. When workers are satisfied with the safety measures in place, they are more likely to comply with these protocols, further enhancing workplace safety. Research supports the idea that safety training not only increases knowledge but also positively influences workers' perceptions of the safety measures implemented by their employers, thereby improving their overall satisfaction. [8]

Finally, the increase in the percentage of workers providing suggestions for improvement is particularly significant. This outcome indicates that the training program successfully empowered workers to take an active role in the continuous improvement of workplace safety. When workers feel that their input is valued and that they can contribute to safety enhancements, it fosters a culture of continuous improvement and innovation. This engagement is critical for identifying and addressing potential safety issues that may not be immediately apparent to management. The literature highlights the importance of involving workers in safety decision-making processes, as their frontline perspectives are invaluable for developing effective safety strategies. [5]

Conclusions

Overall, this study suggests that while current protective measures and training initiatives are effective to some extent, there is still room for improvement. Enhancing these programs and ensuring their consistent application across different healthcare settings in Saudi Arabia is crucial for providing robust protection to medical laboratory workers. Future efforts should focus on refining

safety protocols, increasing engagement with safety training, and addressing gaps in the implementation of protective measures to further reduce occupational risks in this critical sector.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, the following recommendations are proposed to enhance the protection of medical laboratory workers in Saudi Arabia:
Enhancement of Training Programs: It is essential to refine and expand existing training programs to ensure they are comprehensive and address all potential occupational risks. These programs should be regularly updated to reflect the latest safety protocols and technological advancements. Additionally, training should be mandatory and include regular refresher courses to reinforce safety practices and ensure that all workers are well-informed and prepared.

Increased Focus on Ergonomic Risks: The significant reduction in repetitive strain injuries following training highlights the importance of addressing ergonomic risks. It is recommended that ergonomic assessments become a standard part of laboratory safety protocols, with specific training on proper posture, equipment use, and the importance of regular breaks to prevent strain injuries.

Improved Monitoring and Follow-up: To ensure the effectiveness of safety interventions, continuous monitoring of workplace safety practices is necessary. This includes regular health check-ups, exposure monitoring, and prompt follow-up on reported incidents. Establishing a robust system for tracking and analyzing incidents can help identify trends and areas that require further attention.

Strengthening Safety Culture: Management should play a proactive role in fostering a strong safety culture within laboratories. This includes visibly committing to safety protocols, ensuring that all staff are engaged in safety practices, and promoting an environment where workers feel comfortable reporting safety concerns. A positive safety culture can significantly reduce the likelihood of incidents and improve overall workplace safety.

Policy Development and Regulatory Compliance: It is recommended that healthcare institutions in Saudi Arabia align their safety policies with international best practices and ensure strict adherence to regulatory standards. Regular audits and compliance checks should be implemented to ensure that these standards are consistently met.

Encouraging Worker Engagement: Workers should be actively involved in the development and implementation of safety measures. Encouraging them to provide feedback and suggestions for improvement can lead to more effective safety practices and increase their sense of ownership and responsibility toward maintaining a safe work environment.

Research and Data Collection: Further research is needed to confirm the findings of this study and to explore additional factors that may influence the effectiveness of safety interventions. Expanding the sample size, conducting long-term follow-

ups, and including a broader range of healthcare settings can provide more robust data and insights.

Reference

[1] S. M. Al-shahrani, N. Z. Alshahrani, S. D. Mohammed, H. Mohammed, Y. Fahad, and A. N. Hamed, "Perspective of healthcare workers regarding self-protection during COVID-19 pandemic: A cross-sectional study from Saudi Arabia," *Int. J. Adv. Appl. Sci,* vol. 8, pp. 101-105, 2020.

[2] A. Abalkhail *et al.*, "Needle-stick and sharp injuries among hospital healthcare workers in Saudi Arabia: a cross-sectional survey," *International journal of environmental research and public health,* vol. 19, no. 10, p. 6342, 2022.

[3] A. Alzaaqi, T. Alruwaili, and M. Almesned, "Incidence, Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of Healthcare Workers Regarding Needle Stick Injuries at a Tertiary Care Hospital in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia," *Saudi J Med Pharm Sci,* vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 357-368, 2024.

[4] E. Abdulwahed and E. Elmansorry, "Impact of COVID-19 on Medical Laboratory Workers in Libya: A Cross-Sectional Study," *Khalij-Libya Journal of Dental and Medical Research,* vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 81-86, 2021.

[5] D. Bota, A. Bunyasi, A. Amayo, J. W. Wachira, and J. O. Okello, "Strengthening Medical Laboratory Systems in Kenya: An Innovative Biosafety Training Model," *Applied Biosafety,* vol. 26, no. S1, pp. S-16-S-26, 2021.

[6] K. Wilson, D. Jones, A. Yassi, J. Spiegel, and L. Darwin, "O28-1 Impact of an occupational health information system in a medical laboratory service in south africa," ed: BMJ Publishing Group Ltd, 2016.

[7] K. S. Wilson *et al.*, "COVID-19 cases among medical laboratory services staff in South Africa, 2020–2021: a cohort study," *Plos one,* vol. 17, no. 6, p. e0268998, 2022.

[8] L. He *et al.*, "An integrated intervention for increasing clinical nurses' knowledge of HIV/AIDS-related occupational safety," *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health,* vol. 13, no. 11, p. 1094, 2016.

[9] M. Al-Bsheish, "The mediation role of safety training between risk perception and safety behaviors among non-medical hospital staff," *International Journal of Innovative Research and Scientific Studies,* vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 27-35, 2024.

[10] J. Garnett, D. Jones, G. Chin, J. M. Spiegel, A. Yassi, and N. Naicker, "Occupational tuberculosis among laboratory workers in South Africa: applying a surveillance system to strengthen prevention and control," *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health,* vol. 17, no. 5, p. 1462, 2020.